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#### Abstract

The Brønsted acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction was examined. Phosphoric acids were effective Brønsted acids for the Diels-Alder reaction of cyclohexadienones and activated by the internal hydrogen-bonding of the adjacent hydroxy group. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Brønsted acids, such as diol, urea, thiourea, guanidine, and phosphoric acid, have been recently received much attention as organocatalysts [1]. Chiral Brønsted acids have been applied to a wide range of reactions [2-9]. These reports suggest that a suitable nucleophilic substrate, such as imine, is necessary for the effective rate acceleration of the reaction by a Brønsted acid. Because of low nucleophilicity and/or polymerization of dienophiles such as $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes and ketones, the Brønsted acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction has not received much attention for a long time [10]. Rawal and co-workers have developed reactive aminosiloxydiene [11] and reported the enantioselective TADDOL-catalyzed DielsAlder reaction [12] of methacrolein with dienes. Nakashima and Yamamoto have designed a highly reactive and acidic chiral Brønsted acid, chiral $N$-triflyl phosphoramide, and developed a highly enantioselective Diels-Alder reaction of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone with silyloxydiene using the chiral phosphoramide [13].

We have reported $\pi$-facially selective Diels-Alder reactions of cross-conjugated cyclohexadienones with cyclopentadiene [14]. The Diels-Alder reaction was accelerated in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, although the reaction rate was slow in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. We considered that the rate acceleration was attributed to

[^0]hydrogen-bonding between cross-conjugated cyclohexadienone and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and examined the Diels-Alder reaction catalyzed by some Brønsted acids. We found rate acceleration of phosphoric acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction by internal hydrogen-bonding of the adjacent hydroxy group.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General

All reactions involving air- and moisture-sensitive reagent were carried out under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled after refluxing over Na-benzophenone before use. Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ TLC aluminum sheets were used for routine monitoring of reaction. Column chromatography was performed on Merck silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh, ASTM). Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ was used for preparative thin-layer chromatography. Os IC-I was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.

Melting points were taken on a Yanagimoto melting-point apparatus. NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM-LA500 instrument. Internal references for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ (TMS) for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}(7.55 \mathrm{ppm})$. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were referenced to $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( 77.0 ppm ) and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}(135.5 \mathrm{ppm})$. MS were recorded on a JEOL JMS-SX102A instrument under electron ionization (EI) conditions $(70 \mathrm{eV})$. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 2400II analyzer.

### 2.2. General procedure for the Diels-Alder reaction

Freshly distilled cyclopentadiene ( 10 eq ) and additive were added to a 0.3 M solution of dienophile in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was shielded from the light and stirred at room temperature. After 48 h , the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 2:1).

### 2.3. Preparation of compound (4)

Lithium ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 144 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in small portions at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of benzoic acid ( $5.06 \mathrm{~g}, 41.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 40 ml ) and liquid ammonia ( 300 ml ) until a blue color persisted. After stirred for 30 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2$-(2-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxolane ( $12.4 \mathrm{ml}, 106 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added. The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 3 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After addition of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(6.74 \mathrm{~g}, 126 \mathrm{mmol})$, the mixture was warmed slowly to room temperature while ammonia was removed. The residue was dissolved in water $(90 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. To the aqueous layer at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added conc. HCl to give $\mathrm{pH} 1-2$. The solution was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and filtered. After evaporation, 1,4-diene was obtained $(9.48 \mathrm{~g})$ as a yellow oil. The crude product was used for the next reaction without further purification: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.96-5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.74-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.85(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.72-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

To a mixture of the 1,4 -diene $(9.48 \mathrm{~g}, 42.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 127 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(140 \mathrm{ml})$ was added MeI $(13.2 \mathrm{ml}$, 212 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic phase was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 4:1) to give methyl ester ( 8.77 g , $89 \%$ from benzoic acid) as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.93-5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ); HR-EIMS m/z: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$238.1205, Found 238.1211.

To a suspension of $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}(158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added 3,5-dimethylpyrazole (DMP) $(152 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 20 min , a solution of the methyl ester ( $74.8 \mathrm{mg}, 314 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, passed through a pad of Celite. The filtrate was washed with sat. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc 2:1) to give $4(44.1 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{t}$, $J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.16-2.10 (m, 2H), $1.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=12.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 184.8,170.5$, 147.5 (x2), 130.4 (x2),
103.1, 64.9 (x2), 53.0, 51.5, 31.7, 28.2; HR-EIMS m/z: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$252.0998. Found 252.0991. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 61.90; H, 6.39. Found C, 62.08; H, 6.39.

### 2.4. Compound (5)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.91(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80$ (dd, $J=5.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.83-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (dd, $J=8.8$, $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ (ddd, $J=8.5,3.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.08 (td, $J=12.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{td}, J=12.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 200.4, 174.2, 148.7, 135.6, 134.0, 130.5, 103.6, 64.9 (x2), 52.1, 50.6, 49.5, 48.6, 48.0, 47.8, 45.6, 39.8, 29.0; HR-EIMS $m / z$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$318.1467. Found 318.1466; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 67.91 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.97$. Found C, $67.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.20$.

### 2.5. Preparation of compound (6)

To a stirred solution of LDA, prepared from $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.66 \mathrm{ml}$, $5.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.10 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $3.40 \mathrm{ml}, 3.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{ml})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added a solution of $5(795 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.50 mmol ) in THF ( 8.0 ml ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min , MeI $(1.50 \mathrm{ml}, 24.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred overnight at -78 to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc $4: 1$ ) to give methyl derivative ( $450.5 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as a white solid, and recovered 5 ( $162.4 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ): m.p. (benzene) $111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90$ (dd, $J=5.0,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ (dd, $J=5.5,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.89(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.83-3.78 (m, 2H), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{td}, J=12.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}):{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 203.5,174.0,148.2,136.7,134.6,130.7$, 103.9, 65.1 (x2), 57.3, 54.0, 52.3, 51.2, 49.8, 48.8, 47.8, 41.2, 29.6, 26.9; HR-EIMS $m / z$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 332.1624$. Found 332.1638; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 68.66; H, 7.28. Found C, 68.58; H, 7.40.

To a solution of the methyl derivative ( $30.6 \mathrm{mg}, 92.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /$ acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1: 1,0.3 \mathrm{ml})$ was added N -methylmorpholine- $N$-oxide (NMO) $(16.9 \mathrm{mg}, 144 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and Os IC-I (abt. $7 \%, 12.7 \mathrm{mg}, 4.66 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 2 days at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified with column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 30: 1$ ) to give diol ( $32.1 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a white solid: m.p. (benzene) $153-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ $\delta 7.43$ (dd, $J=10.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47$
(bs, 1H), 2.41-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.27-2.23 (m, 2H), 1.85-1.73 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ) $\delta 202.9,173.7,150.2,128.7$, $103.8,70.1,69.0,65.1,65.0,59.7,53.6,52.1,50.7,48.8,48.3$, 41.6, 31.6, 29.9, 27.0; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{7}: \mathrm{C}, 62.28$; H,7.15. Found C, 62.17; H, 6.89.

To a solution of the diol $(105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and acetone ( 1.5 ml ) was added acetone dimethyl acetal ( $88 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 16 h and quenched with water. The mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc $2: 1 \times 3$ ) to give acetal ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as a white solid: m.p. (benzene) $166-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4$, $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6,1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.81-3.79 (m, 2H), 2.32 (bs, 1H), 2.22 (bs, 2H), 2.18-2.13 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.45$ (m, 1H), 1.45-1.41 (m, 1H), $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 202.4,173.1,149.6$, 128.6, 108.7, 103.4, 78.1, 77,2, 64.9 (x2), 55.4, 52.4, 52.1, 48.2, 47.3, 46.6, 41.0, 30.8, 29.4, 26.7, 25.2, 24.0; HR-EIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$406.1992. Found 406.1983; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : C, 65.01; H, 7.44. Found C, 64.99; H, 7.54.
$\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(85.3 \mathrm{mg}, 2.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the acetal $(91.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.25 \mathrm{ml})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . After quenched with sat. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, the mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 20: 1$ ) to give $6(84.0 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a white solid: m.p. (benzene) $215-218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.23$ (ddd, $J=10.4,3.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$ (bs, 1 H$), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.95-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{td}, J=13.1,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 174.4,132.3,128.1,108.1,103.9,78.5,77.5,72.6,64.9$ (x2), 52.8, 52.1, 49.7, 48.9, 45.9, 44.0, 33.1, 32.2, 30.8, 30.1, 25.2, 24.2; HR-EIMS m/z: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right] 408.2148$. Found 408.2128; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : C, 64.69; H, 7.90. Found C, 64.77; H, 7.70.

### 2.6. Compound (10)

a colorless yellow solid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.81$ (dd, $J=5.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77$ (dd, $J=5.2,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08$ (bs, 1H), 4.03 (bs, 1H), 3.65 (t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.74-2.61$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.88-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.2,152.0$, $142.7,142.5,128.0,126.2,126.0,112.9,69.2,62.3,48.5,46.0$,
34.5, 28.5; HR-EIMS $m / z$ : calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$216.1150. Found 216.1148; Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 77.75; H, 7.46. Found C, 77.49; H, 7.76.

### 2.7. X-Ray structure determination

A crystal suitable for X-ray structure determination was mount on a Mac science DIP2030 imaging plate equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation ( $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ). Unit-cell parameters were determined by autoindexing several images in each data set separately with the DENZO program [15]. For each data set, rotation images were collected in $3^{\circ}$ increments with a total rotation of $180^{\circ}$ about $\varphi$ ( 60 frames). Data were processed by using the SCALEPACK program [15]. The structure were solved by a direct method and refined by fullmatrix least-squares methods with the TeXsan (Rigaku) program [16].

## 3. Results and discussion

The Diels-Alder reaction of cyclohexadienone $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was selected as a probe for the Brønsted acid-catalyzed reaction (Scheme 1). Because the Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature gave only trace amount of cycloadducts 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ and the uncatalyzed reaction can be ignored [14b]. First, the Diels-Alder reaction in the presence of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ( 10 eq ), 3, $3^{\prime}$-bis(trifluoromethyl)urea ( 0.2 eq ), and $3,3^{\prime}$-bis(trifluoromethyl)thiourea ( 0.2 eq ) as a Brønsted acid was examined. However, they were not efficient for catalyst [17].

The Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phenols ( 1.0 eq ) as a Brønsted acid was examined (Table 1). Phenols accelerated the Diels-Alder reaction more than $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. According to the acidity of the phenols, the conversion yield was improved. Treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ (1.0 eq) gave the cycloadducts 2 and 3 ( $37 \%$ conversion yield). When Phenol ( 10 eq ) was used as a Brønsted acid, the conversion yield of $\mathbf{1}$ was $80 \%$. These results indicated that the acidity of Brønsted acid was important for rate acceleration of the Diels-Alder reaction.

Based on the rate acceleration by phenols, we examined the Diels-Alder reaction in the presence of biphenols (1.0 eq) (Table 2). The Diels-Alder reaction in the presence of biphenol was accelerated compared with phenol (Table 2, entry 1). However, the mono-methyl ether of biphenol did not act as the catalyst of the Diels-Alder reaction (Table 2, entry 2). The inactivation of the mono-methyl ether will be caused by the intramolecular hydrogen-bonding between the hydroxy group




[^1]Table 1
Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phenols $p$-R$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ ( 1.0 eq )

| Entry | Phenol $(R=)$ | Conversion $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathbf{2 : 3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | - | 4 | $90: 10$ |
| 2 | OMe | 11 | $95: 5$ |
| $3^{\text {c }}$ | H | 19 | $93: 7$ |
| 4 | Cl | 21 | $95: 5$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 26 | $96: 4$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{CN}_{3}$ | 28 | $95: 5$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 37 | $94: 6$ |

The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 48 h .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{c}$ When phenol ( 10 eq ) was used as an additive, the adducts $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were obtained in $80 \%$ conversion yield $(2: 3=94: 6)$.

Table 2
Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of biphenols (1.0 eq)
Entry

The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 48 h .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
and the oxygen of the ether. In the phenol-catalyzed DielsAlder reaction of cyclohexadiene $\mathbf{1}$, the $\pi$-face selectivity was increased.

Next, the Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of acids are summarized in Table 3. Acetic acid ( 1.0 eq ) accelerated Diels-Alder reaction as much as PhOH . (Table 3, entry 1). When phosphoric acid was used as a Brønsted acid, the Diels-Alder reaction was efficiently accelerated even if the amount of 0.1 eq (Table 3, entry 2). The addition of more acidic $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 0.1 eq ) was also examined (Table 3, entries 3 and 4). When $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was used as a

Table 3
Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of acids

| Entry | Acid | ${\text { Conversion }(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}}^{2: \mathbf{3}^{\text {b }}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ | 11 | $94: 6$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ | $>99$ | $96: 4$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ | 32 | $97: 3$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ | $96^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $95: 5$ |

The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 48 h .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{c}$ The isolated yield was $75 \%$.

Table 4
Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phosphoric acids ( 0.1 eq )

| Entry | Phosphoric acid | Conversion (\%) $^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathbf{2 : 3}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | H 3 PO 4 | 96 | $96: 4$ |
| 2 | $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 28 | $97: 3$ |
| 3 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | 14 | $93: 7$ |

The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 15 min .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.

Table 5
Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{4}$ with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phosphoric acid (0.1 eq)



| Entry | Phosphoric acid | Yield (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | No reaction |
| 2 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 41 |
| 3 | $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 91 |
| 4 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | 79 |
| The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 48 h.$$ |  |  |
| a Isolated yield. |  |  |

Brønsted acid, cyclohexadienone $\mathbf{1}$ and/or cycloadducts $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were decomposed by the acid and the isolated yield of the products was decreased. The low activity of AcOH and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ may be attributed to the dimerization in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

The acceleration effect by the addition of phosphoric acids $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ was compared (Table 4). When the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h , cyclohexadienone 1 was quantitatively converted to the product irrespective of the phosphoric acid used. To compare the ability of the phosphoric acids, the reaction was quenched at 15 min . The most effective Brønsted acid was $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and the order of the rate acceleration profile was in the order $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \gg(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}>(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$. As same as the phenol-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction, the $\pi$-face selectivity was increased.

The phosphoric acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction of 4, bearing an acetal group, with cyclopentadiene was examined


5

Fig. 1. NOE experiments on 5.


Scheme 2. Conversion of 5 to $\mathbf{6}$.
(Table 5). Cyclohexadienone 4 was treated with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phosphoric acid at room temperature. After 48 h , cyclohexadienone 4 was consumed and cycloadduct 5 was obtained as a single isomer. The stereochemistry of 5 was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR dif-NOE experiments (Fig. 1) and confirmed by X-ray structural analysis [18] of $\mathbf{6}$, which was generated from 5 over 4 steps (Scheme 2 and Fig. 2). Cyclohexadienone 4 and/or cycloadduct 5 were decomposed by $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ and the isolated yield of 5 was decreased ( $41 \%$ and $79 \%$, respectively, Table 5, entries 2 and 4 ). When $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ was used as a Brønsted acid, cycloadduct 5 was obtained in $91 \%$ isolated yield (Table 2, entry 3 ).

Cyclohexadienone 7, bearing the spiro-ether, was used as a dienophile of the phosphoric acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction (Table 6). When cyclohexadienone 7 was treated with cyclopentadiene in the presence of $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ for 48 h , a mixture of diastereomers 8 and 9 was obtained along with the by-product 10 , which was generated by $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ catalyzed tautomerization of $\mathbf{8}$ and/or 9 . (Table 6, entry 2). The $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})_{2} \mathrm{OH}$-catalyzed tautomerization was prevented by the addition of PhNHMe (Table 6, entry 3) [19].

The phosphoric acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction of other dienophiles was also examined (Tables 7 and 8). The Diels-Alder reaction of cinnamaladehyde [20] was three to six times accelerated by the phosphoric acids (Table 7). The most efficient Brønsted acid was $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, not $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. The endoselectivity was increased in the phosphoric acid-catalyzed DielsAlder reaction. 2,6-Dimethylbenzoquinone [21] readily reacted with cyclopentadiene without Brønsted acid at room temperature and the phosphoric acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction was


Fig. 2. ORTEP drawing of 6 showing the thermal ellipsoids at the $30 \%$ probability level.
quenched at 2 h (Table 8). The Diels-Alder reaction was accelerated in the order $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}>\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}>(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$, which indicated that the catalytic ability of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ depends on the dienophile. This may be attributed to the association of phos-

Table 6
The $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction of 7 with cyclopentadiene


| Entry | Additive | Time $(\mathrm{h})$ | ${\text { Conversion }(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}}^{\mathbf{8 : 9}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | - | 24 | 14 | $96: 4$ |
| 2 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ | 48 | $51^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| 3 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}(0.1 \mathrm{eq}) \mathrm{PhNHMe}(0.1 \mathrm{eq})$ | 24 | 61 | $>99:<1$ |

[^2]Table 7
Diels-Alder reaction of cinnamaldehyde with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phosphoric acid

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Phosphoric acid | Conversion (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | endo:exo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1 | - | 5 | 52:48 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 17 | 77:23 |
| 3 | $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 33 | 82:18 |
| 4 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | 25 | 82:18 |

The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 48 h .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The ratio was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.

Table 8
Diels-Alder reaction of 2,6-dimethylbenzoquinone with cyclopentadiene in the presence of phosphoric acid

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Phosphoric acid | Conversion (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | - | 19 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 40 |
| 3 | $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | 74 |
| 4 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | 28 |

The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 2 h .
${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.


Fig. 3. Speculated hydrogen-bonding between 1 and $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$.
phoric acid in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Generally, $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ catalyzed the Diels-Alder reaction more than $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$.

## 4. Conclusion

The Brønsted acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction was examined. Phosphoric acids were effective Brønsted acids for the Diels-Alder reaction of cyclohexadienones, although the activ-
ity of phosphoric acids was moderate for the other dienophiles (cinnamaldehyde and benzoquinone). This can be understandable by the terms of the Lewis basisity of the dienophiles [22]. In the Brønsted acid-catalyzed Diels-Alder reaction, the diastereoselectivity was also improved. When the acid-labile substrate was used, the acidity of the phosphoric acid was controlled by the combination with base $[2 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{~g}]$. Although the activity of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ depended on the substrate, $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ accelerated the Diels-Alder reaction more than $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{POH}$. The activation of the Diels-Alder reaction by the internal hydrogenbonding of the biaryl diol [2b,12], the bis-ammonium salts of mono- $N$-alkylated 1,2-diamino-1,2-ethane [23a,b], and $\alpha$ hydroxyenone [23c] have been reported. This report also suggest that $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is activated by the internal hydrogenbonding of the adjacent hydroxy group (Fig. 3).
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[^1]:    Scheme 1. Diels-Alder reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with cyclopentadiene.

[^2]:    The reaction was carried out at room temperature.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The yield was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude product.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ The $(\mathrm{PhO}) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$-catalyzed tautomerization product 10 was obtained in $26 \%$ yield.

